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A transactional graph query benchmark with deep delete operations
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SNB Interactive v1 (2015)


Queries start in 1-2 person nodes

14 complex reads, 7 short reads

8 insert operations run concurrently

Goal: High throughput (ops/s)
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SNB Interactive v2 (2024)



+ New query variants based on correlation
+ New query: Cheapest path-finding
+ 8 delete operations
+ Scales to SF30,000
+ Temporal bucketing
+ Path curation

Benchmark framework

## Benchmark workflow



## Benchmark workflow

## Datagen

- Generates a temporal graph over 3 years
- Spark-based scalable generator up to 30TB data
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Data generator: Highlights


## Person-knows-Person

- Degree distribution: Ugander et al. "The Anatomy of the Facebook Social Graph" (2011)
- Edges are added along 3 dimensions: university attendance, interests, random
- Deletes are implemented according to Lőrincz et al. "Collapse of an online social network: Burning social capital to create it?" (2019)


## Generating deletes along dependencies: Lifespan management

The generator generates the entire temporal with creation dates $*$ and deletion dates $\dagger$


## Factor table generation

Example: \#comments for friends of friends

- $\quad$ numFoaFComments $(\mathrm{p} 1, \mathrm{cnt})=\operatorname{count}($ knows $(\mathrm{p} 1, \mathrm{p} 2) \bowtie \operatorname{knows}(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{p} 3) \bowtie$ hasCreator(p3, c)) filter for unique values of p1, p2, p3

Joining three large tables would be very expensive, so we approximate it:

1. numFriendComments $(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{cnt})=\operatorname{count}(\operatorname{knows}(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{p} 3) \bowtie \operatorname{hasCreator}(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{c}))$
2. numFoaFComments(p1, cnt) $=\operatorname{sum}($ knows $(p 1, p 2) \bowtie n u m F r i e n d C o m m e n t s(p 2, c n t))$ filtering is omitted

Operations

## Workload mix



INS
inserts
20\%, 0.1-100 ms

DEL<br>deletes<br>$0.2 \%$, ?? ms

## Complex read Q9: Recent messages by

## F/F



## Q9 parameter selection: Window



## Complex read Q3: Travelling abroad

Friends and FoaFs that created Messages from given Countries but do not live there


## Complex read Q3: Travelling abroad

Frequency of friendships between country pairs


## Short read Q3: Friends of a Person



## Short read Q6: Forum of a Message



## Insert query INS1: Add Person



## Delete query DEL4: Remove Forum



## Scheduling

## Benchmark execution



## Driver execution modes

The driver has 3 modes of operation, all start with the initial data set loaded.
1-2) Generate validation data set, Validate implementation

- single-threaded
- deterministic

3) Run benchmark

- multi-threaded
- calculates throughput
- pass/fail schedule


## Scheduling operations: Theory

- Updates: replayed as they happen in the social network
- Complex reads: a given complex read query is scheduled for $X$ update operations
- For each complex read instance, a

|  | IS 1 | IS 2 | IS 3 | IS 4 | IS 5 | IS 6 | IS 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IC 1 | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ |  |  |  |  |
| IC 2 | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ |
| IC 3 | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ |  |  |  |  |
| IC 7 | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ |
| IC 8 | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ |
| IC 9 | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ |
| IC 10 | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ |  |  |  |  |
| IC 11 | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ |  |  |  |  |
| IC 12 | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ |  |  |  |  |
| IC 14 | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ |  |  |  |  |
| IS 2 | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ |
| IS 3 | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ |  |  |  |  |
| IS 5 | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ |  |  |  |  |
| IS 6 | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ |  |  |  |  |
| IS 7 | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | $\otimes$ | sequence of short reads is triggered, short reads can trigger other short reads

## Scheduling operations: Example

Replay speed is determined by the TCR (total compression ratio)
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## 95\% on-time requirement

In order to pass an audit, 95\% of the executed queries must meet the following condition:

$$
\text { actual start time - scheduled start time < } 1 \text { second }
$$

If a run falls behind, it is no longer valid.


## Scalability

## Scaling up to SF30,000

Migrated from the Hadoop-based data generator to the Spark-based one Scaling to large SFs gets super-exponentially more difficult

- more expensive: compute/storage costs, egress
- longer execution and transfer times
- things start to break more and more often
- tools cannot load/process
- connections drop
- AWS disks corrupt
- EMR jobs hang
- availability zone out of instances
- running out of disk/temp space
- files get lost silently during transfer


## Cheapest path-finding

## Cheapest path query

"Cheapest path" = weighted shortest path (Dijkstra, Bellman-Ford)
Syntax in GQL and SQL/PGQ:

MATCH ANY CHEAPEST PATH $\mathrm{p}=$
(a:Person WHERE a.name='Bob')
-[k:knows COST 1/k.interactionScore]->*
(b:Person WHERE b.name='Eve')

The ANY CHEAPEST PATH clause is denoted as a language opportunity.

## Cheapest path query

## Difficult to express in SQL:1999 - long and cumbersome query, slow execution

## But an important computational kernel: included in Interactive v2

with recursive pathb(a, b, w) AS (SELECT least(c.creatorpersonid, p.creatorpersonid) AS a, greatest(c.creatorpersonid, p.creatorpersonid) AS b, greatest(round(40 - sqrt(count(*)::bigint, 1) AS w FROM message c, message p WHERE
c.parentmessageid = p.id AND EXISTS (SELECT * FROM person_knows_person WHERE person1id = c.creatorpersonid AND person2id = p.creatorpersonid) group by a, b), path(src, dst, w) AS ( SELECT a, b, w FROM pathb union all SELECT b, a, w FROM pathb ), shorts(dir, gsrc, dst, prev, w, dead, iter) AS ( SELECT sdir, sgsrc, sdst, sdst, sw, sdead, siter FROM (VALUES (false, :person1Id::bigint, :person1Id::bigint, 0::bigint, false, 0), (true, :person2Id::bigint, :person2Id::bigint, 0::bigint, false, 0)) t(sdir, sgsrc, sdst, sw, sdead, siter) union all (with ss AS (SELECT * FROM shorts), toExplore AS (SELECT * FROM ss WHERE dead = false order by w limit 1000), newPoints(dir, gsrc, dst, prev, w, dead) AS ( SELECT e.dir, e.gsrc AS gsrc, p.dst AS dst, p.src as prev, e.w + p.w AS w, false AS dead FROM path p join toExplore e on (e.dst = p.src) UNION ALL SELECT dir, gsrc, dst, prev, w, dead OR EXISTS (SELECT * FROM toExplore e WHERE e.dir = o.dir AND e.gsrc = o.gsrc AND e.dst = o.dst) FROM ss o ), fullTable AS ( SELECT DISTINCT ON(dir, gsrc, dst) dir, gsrc, dst, prev, w, dead FROM newPoints ORDER BY dir, gsrc, dst, w, dead, prev DESC ), found AS (SELECT min(l.w + r.w) AS wFROM fullTable l, fullTable rWHERE l.dir = false AND r.dir = true AND l.dst = r.dst) SELECT dir, gsrc, dst, prev, w, dead OR (coalesce(t.w > (SELECT f.w/2 FROM found f), false) ), e.iter +1 AS iter FROM fullTable $t$, (SELECT iter FROM toExplore limit 1) e) , ss(dir, gsrc, dst, prev, w, iter) AS (SELECT dir, gsrc, dst, prev, w, iter FROM shorts WHERE iter = (SELECT max (iter) FROM shorts)), result(f, $t$, inter, w) AS ( SELECT l.gsrc, r.gsrc, l.dst, l.w + r.w FROM ss l, ss $r$ WHERE l.dir = false AND r.dir = true AND l.dst = r.dst ORDER BY l.w + r.w LIMIT 1), sp1 (arr, cur) as ( SELECT ARRAY[inter]: :bigint[], inter FROM result UNION ALL SELECT array_prepend(ss.prev, sp1.arr), ss.prev FROM ss, sp1 WHERE ss.dir = false AND ss.dst = sp1.cur AND ss.prev <> ss.dst), sp2(arr, cur) as (SELECT (SELECT arr FROM sp1 WHERE cur = (SELECT f FROM result)), (SELECT inter FROM result) UNION ALL SELECT array_append(sp2.arr, ss.prev), ss.prev FROM ss, sp2 WHERE ss.dir = true AND ss.dst = sp2.cur AND ss.prev <> ss.dst) SELECT sp2.arr AS personIdsInPath, result.w AS pathWeight FROM result, sp2 WHERE sp2.cur = result.t;

## Cheapest path query: Q14 new version



Path curation

## Shortest distance from "Ada" to "Eve"
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The shortest path distance changes multiple times during the day.

## Path curation with temporal bucketing

For each day, we construct:
G1 - deletes but no inserts, setting an upper bound

G2 - inserts but no deletes, setting a lower bound
lower $\leq$ actual length $\leq$ upper


G2: Inserts applied


Pairs of nodes yielding 3-hop paths in G1 and G2:

- 1 to 5
- 1 to 6
- 2te-5
- 2 to 6


## Path curation with temporal bucketing

For each day, we construct:
G1 - deletes but no inserts, setting an upper bound

G2 - inserts but no deletes, setting a lower bound
lower $\leq$ actual length $\leq$ upper


Connected components algorithm on G2

Pairs of nodes in different components are guaranteed to be unreachable that day

## Is path curation alone sufficient?

Not yet:

- We also have to consider the degree distribution of the source-target nodes.

Actually:

- For "perfect" parameter curation, we would need to run the entire workload with many parameter candidates and only keep ones which showed a similar behaviour.

Summary

## Implementations



## SNB Interactive v2

- A scalable, transactional database benchmark
- Interesting queries (correlated vs. anti-correlated, cheapest path finding)
- Deep delete operations
- State-of-the-art parameter selection
- Fine-tuning ongoing, to be released in 2024

Please reach out if you would like to implement the benchmark

## LDBC ${ }^{*}$

The graph \& RDF
benchmark reference

